



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/098.204	06/16/1998	HOWARD R. UDELL	200.1099	3784

23280 7590 06/25/2002

DAVIDSON, DAVIDSON & KAPPEL, LLC
485 SEVENTH AVENUE, 14TH FLOOR
NEW YORK, NY 10018

EXAMINER

VU, THONG H

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2152

DATE MAILED: 06/25/2002

21

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/098,204	UDELL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thong H Vu	2152	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Thong H Vu. (3) Morey Wildes #36,968.
 (2) Mark Rinhart. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 20 June 2002.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-10, 13-15 and 17-47.

Identification of prior art discussed: Thorne-Beck; Ji-MacPhail-Shear; Hasen-Beck; Drake-Norin.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.


Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

- i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

MARK H. RINEHART
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100



Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant discussed status of rejections to clarify standing of claims in light of the Advisory Action mailed 4/19/02 (#paper 20). The Examiner withdraws the rejection of claims 1-10,13-15,17-47 based upon Thorne-Beck (paragraph 2-7 of Office Action mailed 10/31/01 (#paper 16)). The Examiner withdraws the rejection of claims 1-10,13-15, based upon Ji-MacPhail (paragraph 7-11 of Office Action mailed 10/31/01).). The Examiner withdraws the rejection of claims 17-47 based upon Ji-MacPhail-Shear (paragraph 12-14 of Office Action mailed 10/31/01). The rejections of claims 1-10,13-15,17-47 based upon Hansen-Beck and Drake-Norin (paragraph 15-23 of Office Action mailed 10/31/01) are maintained .